Exhibit D

Lew-Pham, Nancy

From: Carl Wescott <carlwsoj@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 5:41 PM

To: Graft, Alex

Cc: Lew-Pham, Nancy

Subject: Re: Wescott v. Weaver - RE: [EXT] Please email me a digital copy of your filing (Was:

Fwd: package from Lewis Brisbois)



No, Mr. Graft, but after reviewing your motion, I think you have two winning arguments (maybe three) out of your four. I'm planning to dismiss Mr. Weaver.

(It doesn't affect Mr. Weaver, but I'm going to amend the legal complaint and add about 7 causes of action that are stronger than the debt collections practices counts).

As an attorney, Mr. Weaver had a duty, once I notified him, to investigate the fact that I was never served on the Fiechter lawsuit.

Mailing a summons to the address "Carl Wescott c/o San Pedro Sula, Honduras" and emailing old email addresses does not constitute valid personal service. Even had Mr. Zeff, as agent for Fiechter, mailed the summons to a correct address, or emailed me at my actual email address, I would have been aware of the lawsuit but that would not constitute valid service.

We all know that I should have been personally served with the lawsuit and summons. To represent to the Court that mailing a random city in Honduras or emailing me constitutes valid personal service is extrinsic fraud upon the Court.

But that was prior to Mr. Weaver representing Mr. Fiechter in this particular case/matter.

After I notified Mr. Weaver of this issue (lack of service), Mr. Weaver then should have withdrawn (after his investigation), and he should have notified the Court of this issue.

However, since I'm unaware of any action that Mr. Weaver has taken to collect on the fraudulent judgment, I'll dismiss him from the lawsuit.

Mr. Weaver's ethical lapses and refusal to do the right thing are matters for me to complain about to the California bar.

Mr. Weaver apparently wasn't involved in Mr. Zeff bribing the opposing law offices to steal confidential and privileged information about me, either. That's another matter for the California bar, and from looking at other cases, can warrant disbarment. That's also a matter for law enforcement, because in California, kickbacks and commercial bribery with payments of over \$1000 are a felony.

You can inform Mr. Weaver, whom I've already notified on multiple occasions, that I'll give him one more chance, until the end of the year (2022) to:

^{*} withdraw from representing Mr. Fiechter related to this case (*Fiechter versus Wescott*) and judgment and the collection thereof

Case 3:22-cv-04288-VC Document 28-6 Filed 01/11/23 Page 3 of 7

and/or

* investigate the matter, and do his duty to inform the Court that I was never served etc.

If Mr. Weaver does neither by 12/31/2022, I will complain to the California bar about Mr. Weaver in January 2023.

If Mr. Weaver does not withdraw, then in the future, the moment he takes a step to attempt to collect on the fraudulent judgment, or renews it, then I will sue Mr. Weaver and Mr. Fiechter. His client is liable for his tortious acts.

I think your last argument is a non-starter in federal Court, but you wrote an excellent motion/brief.

I'll get the dismissal out to the Court (by mail) in the next 24 hours, and will send you a copy (both served, and digital courtesy copy).

--CAW +1 936 937 2688

Carl A. Wescott

On Mon, Nov 28, 2022 at 9:46 PM Graft, Alex < Alex. Graft@lewisbrisbois.com > wrote:

Hi Mr. Wescott,

Please see the attached proposed stipulation concerning the initial status conference in the above case. Are you amenable to modifying the case schedule accordingly? Please advise.

Thanks,



Alex A. Graft
Partner

Certified Specialist, State Bar of California

Legal Malpractice

Vice Chair of Legal Malpractice Group Alex.Graft@lewisbrisbois.com

T: 415.438.6692 F: 415.434.0882

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW ADDRESS AS OF 10/24/2022: 45 Fremont Street, Suite 3000, San Francisco, CA 94105



Alex A. Graft
Partner
<u>Alex.Graft@lewisbrisbois.com</u>

T: 415.438.6692 F: 415.434.0882

45 Fremont Street, Suite 3000, San Francisco, CA 94105 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

From: Carl Wescott < carlwsoj@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 1:54 PM

To: Lew-Pham, Nancy <Nancy.Lew-Pham@lewisbrisbois.com>

Cc: Graft, Alex < Alex.Graft@lewisbrisbois.com >

Subject: Re: Wescott v. Weaver - RE: [EXT] Please email me a digital copy of your filing (Was: Fwd: package from Lewis

Brisbois)

Received, thanks!

Carl A. Wescott +1 936 937 2688

On Thu, Nov 24, 2022 at 1:30 AM Lew-Pham	, Nancy	/ <nancy.lew-ph< th=""><th>am@lewisbrisbois.com></th><th>wrote:</th></nancy.lew-ph<>	am@lewisbrisbois.com>	wrote:
--	---------	---	-----------------------	--------

Mr. Wescott, attached please find the following:

Doc 13 – filed Notice of Special Motion (Anti-SLAPP);

Doc 13-1 filed MPA iso Special Motion (Anti-SLAPP);

Doc 13-2 filed Dec of Robert Weaver iso Special Motion (Anti-SLAPP);

Doc 13-3 filed Dec Alex Graft iso Special Motion (Anti-SLAPP); and

Doc 13-4 filed Certificate of Service.

Thank you.

Begin forwarded message:

PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW ADDRESS AS OF 10/24/2022: 45 Fremont Street, Suite 3000, San Francisco, CA 94105



T: 415.438.6630 F: 415.434.0882

45 Fremont Street, Suite 3000, San Francisco, CA 94105 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

Case 3:22-cv-04288-VC Document 28-6 Filed 01/11/23 Page 6 of 7

intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

From: Carl Wescott < carlwsoj@gmail.com > Date: November 23, 2022 at 11:57:01 AM PST

To: "Graft, Alex" < Alex.Graft@lewisbrisbois.com>, Carl Wescott < carlwsoj@gmail.com>

Subject: [EXT] Please email me a digital copy of your filing (Was: Fwd: package from Lewis Brisbois)

Reply-To: carlwsoj@gmail.com

Mr. Graft, as I'm not home in Scottsdale this week, I can't open the package with your filing (I called Lewis Brisbois and found out it was your filing).

Would you be so kind as to email me, or have someone email me, your filing(s) in *Wescott versus Weaver, et al.*?

I'll send you digital courtesy copies of my filings in the future.

--Carl

Carl A. Wescott +1 936 937 2688

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Carl Wescott < carlwsoj@gmail.com > Date: Wed, Nov 23, 2022 at 11:20 PM Subject: package from Lewis Brisbois

To: McGourty, Zachary < zachary.mcgourty@lewisbrisbois.com

Cc: <anthony.barone@lewisbrisbois.com>, <diana.hart@lewisbrisbois.com>

Hello Mr. McGourty, (and/or Mr. Barone and Ms. Hart)-

A package from Lewis Brisbois arrived at my house in Scottsdale yesterday (as I can see via a USPS service). I assume it came from (mailed) service of something you filed in Court. But I'm not home at the moment.

Would you be so kind as to send (ermail) me a digital/electronic copy of whatever you sent to me?
Thank you
CAW +1 936 937 2688
Carl A. Wescott